Jan 21 2007 11:43 908 359-0328 p.6

## **REMARKS**

The Office Action dated October 20, 2006 has been reviewed and carefully considered. Claims 1-13 are pending, with claims 1 and 12 being the only independent claims. Reconsideration of the above-identified application, as amended and in view of the following remarks, is respectfully requested.

The Examiner has objected to Figure 1 under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because "it fails to show the proper labels or legend corresponding to all blocks as described in the specification. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing" (Para. 1 of Office Action, lines 1-3). In response, Applicant respectfully submits that all blocks <u>are</u> labeled and that such structural detail <u>is</u> depicted in Figure 1 as filed. Further, Applicant submits that items labeled in these figures are adequately described in the corresponding sections of the specification.

It is unclear what labels or additional structural detail is required for a proper understanding of the invention. Applicant requests that should any additional detail be required, the Examiner be more specific as to the particular deficiencies.

Claims 1-13 stand rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Pat. No. 5,956,453 (Hennig).

Applicant respectfully disagrees with, and explicitly traverses, the Examiner's reason for rejecting the claims.

Jan 21 2007 11:43 908 359-0328 p.7

In Paragraph 3 of the Office Action a "Note to Applicant" appears which describes how the use of "or" language in a claim is regarded by the USPTO as being anticipated by a reference containing one of the subsequent corresponding elements. In response, Applicant has amended claim 1 to state:

A recording arrangement (1) for the error-tolerant recording of an information signal (FS) of an information broadcast programmed for recording and identified by a broadcast identification (VPS-PI) and a broadcast start time (SBZ-PI), having

receiving means (4) for receiving the information signal (FS) in which information broadcasts and associated broadcast identifications (VPS-SI) can be transmitted, and having

recording means (6) for recording the received information signal (FS) on a record carrier (8) in a recording mode of the recording arrangement (1), and having

recording control means (11) for activating the recording mode at the first occurrence of either the broadcast identification (VPS-PI, VPS-SI) of the programmed information broadcast being detected in the information signal (FS) or a recording start time (ABZ) of the programmed information broadcast being reached, which recording start time is reached a lead time interval (VZ) before the broadcast start time (SBZ-PI) of the programmed information broadcast.

Thus, as amended, claim 1 (and corresponding claim 12) recites that recording commences when the first of the following events occurs:

 the broadcast identification (VPS-PI, VPS-SI) of the programmed information broadcast being detected Jan 21 2007 11:43 908 359-0328

 a recording start time (ABZ) of the programmed information broadcast being reached p.8

In addition, claim 1 recites that the recording start time (ABZ) is reached at a lead time interval (VZ) before the broadcast start time (SBZ-PI) of the programmed information broadcast. Thus as illustrated in Fig. 2, the present invention will start recording the "SPORT" program at 15:15 (reference ABZ column) even though its program scheduled time (SBZ-PI column) is 15:30. Moreover, this recording will occur even if the stored VPS code is in error, and the VPS-SI code is not detected in the information signal (FS).

Hennig teaches a videocassette recorder which includes VPS and VPT automatic programming which "continuously compares preprogrammed VPS data to incoming VPS time codes for the currently running program, and to VPS program schedule information" (Abstract).

Paragraph 3 of the Office Action points to "figure 6 and col. 3, lines 9-22" as well as Figure 4a as teaching the above described features of claim 1. Applicant respectfully disagrees as col. 3, lines 9-22 and Figure 4a merely describe the prior art as noted in paragraphs [0003] – [0005] of the published present invention. Fig. 6 of Henning relates to recording of a program ("Die Pyramide") having essentially an improper VPS code stored in the scheduler. As understood by Applicant, Fig. 6 teaches that when the broadcast of a program such as "Die Pyramide" occurs at its properly scheduled time (20:50), it will commence being recorded at that time (20:50) even if the transmitted VPS code disagrees with the stored code. Further, Hennig's controller 150 will "conclude that the VPS code for the currently running program is incorrect, and will replace it with the VPS code according to the schedule" (col. 7, lines 24-26).

Hennig fails to teach or suggest the feature of the present invention whereby recording will commence at a recording start time (ABZ) which is a lead time before the broadcast start time. That this feature of the invention is lacking is apparent in applying reviewing Hennig's algorithm of Fig. 6 to the situation in which a program is broadcast

Jan 21 2007 11:43 908 359-0328 p.9

earlier than its scheduled time and with a VPS code error. In this situation Hennig, at a minimum, would not record the start of the program.

By way of example, paragraph [0041] of the present invention describes how the situation in which the "SPORT" program of Fig. 2 of the present invention is broadcast 5 minutes early with an incorrect VPS code. The present invention properly records the beginning of this program. Hennig would not. For as described above, if Die Pyramide was broadcast at 20:45 with an improper VPS code, Hennig would not take any action until 20:50, the time stored in his scheduler.

A claim is anticipated only if each and every element recited therein is expressly or inherently described in a single prior art reference. Hennig cannot be said to anticipate the present invention, because Hennig fails to disclose each and every element recited. As shown, Hennig fails to disclose the limitations of "activating the recording mode at the first occurrence of either the broadcast identification (VPS-PI, VPS-SI) of the programmed information broadcast being detected in the information signal (FS) or a recording start time (ABZ) of the programmed information broadcast being reached, which recording start time is reached a lead time interval (VZ) before the broadcast start time (SBZ-PI) of the programmed information broadcast" as are recited in claim 1. Claim 12 contains similar features.

Having shown that Hennig fails to disclose each and every element claimed, Applicant submits that the reason for the Examiner's rejection of claims 1 and 12 have been overcome and can no longer be sustained. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration, withdrawal of the rejections and allowance of claims 1 and 12.

With regard to claims 2-11 and 13, these claims ultimately depend from one of claims 1 and 12, which have been shown to be not anticipated and allowable in view of

the cited references. Accordingly, claims 2-11 and 13 are also allowable by virtue of their dependence from an allowable base claim.

For all the foregoing reasons, it is respectfully submitted that all the present claims are patentable in view of the cited references. A Notice of Allowance is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Dan Piotrowski

Registration No. 42,079

Date: January 21, 2007

By: Thomas J. Onka Attorney for Applicant Registration No. 42,053

## Mail all correspondence to:

Dan Piotrowski, Registration No. 42,079 US PHILIPS CORPORATION P.O. Box 3001 Briarcliff Manor, NY 10510-8001

Phone: (914) 333-9624 Fax: (914) 332-0615

## Certificate of Mailing/Transmission Under 37 CFR 1.8

(Name of Registered Rep.)

(Signature and Date)